





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,880	06/29/2001	Todd M. Bertoch	ISR 99-24 06	3427	
27370	7590 03/25/2004	7.	EXAM	INER	
	THE STAFF JUDGE	THANH, LOAN H			
U.S. ARMY MEDICAL RESEARCH AND MATERIEL COMMAND					
ATTN: MCMR-JA (MS. ELIZABETH ARWINE)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
504 SCOTT STREET			3763		
FORT DETR	ICK, MD 21702-5012				

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant/a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/894,880	BERTOCH ET AL.			
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		LoAn H. Thanh	3763			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or exten	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ive, the maximum statutory period weld period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE to date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 09 Ja	anuary 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.				
<i>'</i> —	, —	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
· —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/ 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 16-1</u> 7)□ Claim(s) <u>4,7 and 9-13</u>	 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,8,14 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 16-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4,7 and 9-13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers						
Applicant may not requer Replacement drawing sh	29 June 2001 is/are: a) st that any objection to the eet(s) including the correct	er.) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ceapplication from	□ None of: of the priority document of the priority document ertified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-12.	rawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I (figs. 1-4) in Paper No. 14 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Applicant is unable to refute logic to the restriction and that a prima facie case has not been laid out. This is not found persuasive because applicant is given the reasons for the restriction in paper number 13. There are different embodiments disclosed and applicants was requested to make the election. Further more, proper traversal to the election should have been directed to the embodiments/species being obvious or variants to each other. Applicant has stated that he does "not admit or imply that any of the claims are obvious over any other pending claim in the application". Applicant is directed to page 3 of the previous office action with respect to proper traversal. Applicant has disclosed in the specification that the figures are of different embodiments.

The Examiner is in agreement with applicant that claims 1, 16-18 are generic.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the soft material lining (claim 7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has evoked sixth paragraph, means-plus-function language to define Applicant's invention. Therefore the Examiner requires the Applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01(o) to explicitly state, with reference to the terms and phrases of the claim element, what structure, materials, and acts perform the function recited in the claim element. Please note that the MPEP clearly states, "Even if the disclosure implicitly sets forth the structure, materials, or acts corresponding to the means-(or step-) plus-function claim element in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, the PTO may still require the applicant to amend the specification pursuant to 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP 608.01(o)...". (Also see MPEP 2181 (Rev. 1, Feb.2000)) Wolfensperger, 302 F.2d at 955, 133 USPQ at 542. Appropriate correction is required.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: There is no support for a soft material lining at least said connecting wall within the channel.

Claim Obj ctions

Claims 17-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has evoked sixth paragraph, means-plus-function language to define Applicant's invention. Therefore the Examiner has objected to the claims for the reasons set forth above in the objection to the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6,16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradley et al. (U.S. Patent N o. 5,626,128).

Bradley et al. Disclose a device comprising an anterior region and two posterior region (same as applicant has shown), a latch 40 and a wedge 42. The latch is mounted on the guard for rotation between an open and closed position. The guard includes a channel 30 framed by two walls. See figures 1-2.

Art Unit: 3763

Allowabl Subject Matter

Claims 4,7,9-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 19-20 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record does not disclose or render obvious a device in combination with all the elements and further including a first and a second post which are spaced from each other in the anterior region and each of the posts engage the latch and a wedge extending from one of the posterior regions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LoAn H. Thanh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT

LOAN H.THANH PRIMARY EXAMINER